Table 2 Criteria for assessing a research proposal (adapted from the UniSA STEM)

Ke	Key components		
Tit	Title Appropriate length/Describe the study		
Int	States the nature of the topic, why it is considered important and how the research will contribute to its solution. (i.e. a statement of the topic? rationale for the research?)  Provides background to the topic and references to foundational research?  Clear aim/objectives of the study? Is it original?		
Literature review			
	Adequate range of literature sources  Current & relevant references linked to topic  Discussion of the literature related to each other and the research objectives  Continuity of review/discussion ('telling a story')  Comprehensive and detailed knowledge and understanding of the literature  Discussion of relevant theory and/or frameworks  Summary of review (what is known/not known) and its impact on the proposal  Clear, relevant and researchable research questions  Statements of hypotheses and explanations (if relevant)		
Re	Research methodology		
	Appropriateness and justification of selected methodology (with references)  Details of proposed data collection/generation methods  Details of materials, apparatus, manufacturer information (if appropriate)  Details of data analysis approaches  Have ethical issues been considered?		
Budget (if appropriate)			
• • • • •	Have cost implications been considered? Is there sufficient funding? Is the available equipment adequate? What technical assistance will be required? Will non-UniSA facilities be required? Is travel involved?		
Timeline/Project plan Is it practical and sufficiently detailed? □			
Ge	clarity of expression research writing style quality and relevance of illustrative material (such as graphs, tables, illustrations) relevance and accuracy of citations, references development of a coherent argument (logical planning and sequencing) worthiness for a research proposal high quality of presentation (including consistency, grammar, spelling and punctuation)		
•	other		