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## 1 Introduction

Whereas a stream cipher contains a memory, embodied in its current state, a block cipher is memoryless outside its current block and therefore has no current state $\left[\mathrm{PBO}^{+} 03\right.$, Sec. 2.1].

## Definition 1: Block cipher [Gol04, Definition 5.3.5]

A block cipher is a triple of PPT algorithms (Gen, Enc, Dec) satisfying two conditions:

1. On input $1^{n}$, algorithm Gen outputs a pair of bit strings (keys).
2. There exists a polynomially bounded function $\ell: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$, called the block length, such that for every pair $(e, d)$ in the range of $\operatorname{Gen}\left(1^{n}\right)$, and for each $m \in\{0,1\}^{\ell(n)}$, algorithms Enc and Dec satisfy

$$
\operatorname{Pr}\left\{\operatorname{Dec}_{d}\left(\operatorname{Enc}_{e}(m)\right)=m\right\}=1 .
$$

In Definition 1 , Gen $\left(1^{n}\right)$ outputs a pair of keys that can be

- different, in which case we get a public-key block cipher;
- the same, in which case we get a symmetric-key block cipher.

A block cipher is commonly assumed/understood to be a symmetric-key block cipher.
NIST SP 800-175B [Bar20] defines a block cipher as $\mathbf{6}$ a family of functions and their inverse functions that is parameterised by cryptographic keys; the functions map bit strings of a fixed length to bit strings of the same length. 59 This definition is consistent with Definition 1, although not as precise.
Some authors are more specific in their definition of block ciphers. For example, Katz and Lindell [KL21, Sec. 3.6.3] define a block cipher as a $\mathbf{6 5}$ strong pseudorandom permutation $9 \boldsymbol{9}$ [Gol01, Definition 3.7.5]. This definition includes key generation implicitly and is specific about the properties of Enc and Dec.

- Watch Dan Boneh's "What are block ciphers?", which introduces block ciphers as pseudorandom permutation functions.
- For the purpose of this course, we shall separate the assumption/construction (e.g., pseudorandom permutation) and the implicit security notion from the definition itself.

Currently, there are two NIST-approved The block ciphers Skipjack [Sch15, Sec. block ciphers, namely 13.12] and Data Encryption Standard 1. Advanced Encryption Standard (DES) [Sch15, Ch. 12] were previously (AES), see Sec. 2;
2. Triple Data Encryption Algorithm (TDEA), also called Triple DES. approved but their approval has been withdrawn; watch LinkedIn Learning video.
Table 1 summarises the standards and approval statuses.

Table 1: Standards and approval statuses of block ciphers [BR19, Sec. 2].

| Block cipher | Standard | Status |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AES | FIPS 197 | Acceptable |
| Two-key TDEA encryption <br> Two-key TDEA decryption | NIST SP 800-67 | Disallowed <br> Legacy use |
| Three-key TDEA encryption NIST SP 800-67 <br> Three-key TDEA decryption Deprecated through 2023, <br> disallowed after 2023 <br> Legacy use |  |  |
| Skipjack encryption | FIPS 185 | Disallowed <br> Skipjack decryption |
| DES encryption | FIPS 46-3 | Degacy use <br> DES decryption |
|  |  | Legacy use |

Applying a block cipher to the same input block will always produce the same output block when the same key is used [Bar20, Sec. 3.2.1.5]. This naive mode of operation, as illustrated in Figure 1, is called electronic cookbook (ECB) mode.


Figure 1: Applying block cipher $F_{k}$ in

ECB mode [KL21, Figure 3.4].
 - Fis

In the ECB mode, data patterns in the plaintext, such as repeated blocks, remain apparent in the ciphertext, as evident in Figure 2.
If the multiple blocks in a typical message are encrypted separately, an adversary can easily substitute individual blocks, possibly without detection [Bar20, Sec. 3.2.1.5].
A ECB mode is deterministic and not semantically secure [KL21, p. 89].

## Quiz 1

Can you device an IND experiment that shows violation of IND-PASS?

## i Detail: Why the name "electronic codebook"?

If a plaintext block is always encrypted to the same ciphertext block, whenever the same key is used, then an attacker can build a codebook of plaintextciphertext pairs that it can look up whenever it needs to encrypt/decrypt some text. This is called a codebook attack.
If the block size is $2^{b}$ bits - When $b=4$, we need 128 kilobytes. for $b \in \mathbb{N}$, an electronic - When $b=5$, we need 16 gigabytes. cookbook needs at least - When $b=6$, we need 128 exabytes. $\underset{\text { \#rows }}{2^{2^{b}}} \times \underset{\text { \#bits per row }}{2^{b}}=2^{2^{b}+b}$ bits.
The codebook attack not only rules out usage of the ECB mode but also indicates the need for a sufficiently large block size, i.e., at least $2^{6}=64$ bits in a block. AES uses $2^{7}=128$-bit blocks.

Other modes of operation than ECB for block ciphers are discussed in the next lecture (see knowledge base entry).

## 2 AES

The significance of the AES warrants a quick review of the historical context:

## i Detail: Historical context

The DES algorithm was first published in 1975 and then standardised in FIPS PUB 46 in 1977.

DES was theoretically secure but its small key length of 56 bits rendered it vulnerable to exhaustive (key) search attacks.

- In 1997, RSA Security organised the first DES Challenge, and the key was found in 96 days.
- In the 1999 round of DES Challenge, the key was found in 22 hours 15 minutes using a purposebuilt machine called "Deep Crack" and 100,000 computers during their idle time [McN99].
- Watch Dan Boneh's "Exhaustive search at-
 tacks".

In January 1997, NIST initiated the development of the successor to DES, namely the AES, inviting proposals from the global community.
So that it can be used to protect sensitive government information well into the 21st century, the AES must support a block size of 128 bits, and key sizes of 128,192 and 256 bits.


In August 1998, NIST shortlisted 15 candidates.
In October 2000, NIST announced the selection of Joan Daemen and Vincent Rijmen's Rijndael as the AES.
In November 2001, the AES was published as FIPS 197 [NIS01].

In 2003, the New European Schemes for Signatures, Integrity and Encryption (NESSIE) project formally recommended Rijndael/AES [Pre03].
At about the same time, the (Japanese) Cryptography Research and Evaluation Committee (CRYPTREC) also formally recommended Rijndael/AES, which remains a recommendation as of 2022 [CRY22].
As of 2020, more than 5700 AES algorithm implementations had been validated by Cryptographic Algorithm Validation Program (CAVP) as conforming to FIPS 197 specifications [NIS21].
Nowadays, almost all modern 64-bit processors have native instructions for AES [Mou21], e.g., Intel has AES New Instructions (AES-NI) for not only accelerating AES computation but also mitigating timing and cache-based attacks [Gue09].

## Quiz 2

In [CRY22], what is the other recommended 128 -bit block cipher than AES?

Our discussion shall be guided by FIPS 197 [NIS01], [DR20] ( ) and the OpenSSL implementation of AES (which is different from BoringSSL implementation of AES).

A rigorous coverage of the AES would cover finite fields, linear codes and boolean functions first [DR20, Ch. 2], but only a user's view of finite fields will be covered in Sec. 2.1.

Rijndael was designed to support a variable block length and a variable key length; both can be independently specified to any multiple of 32 bits, with a minimum of 128 bits and a maximum of 256 bits.


The AES is thus, strictly speaking, a subset of Rijndael with the block length fixed at 128 bits, and key lengths limited to 128, 192 and 256 bits.
For a quick overview of the AES, watch Dan Boneh's "The AES Block Cipher".
For our discussion here, an unconventional starting point is taken, by inspecting the OpenSSL source code athttps://github.com/openssl/openssl/tree/master/ crypto/aes.

- We shall look for an implementation of the AES in ECB mode (which is basically the AES itself).
- The security of the AES depends on viewing a single byte of data in two different ways: 1 a byte as an eight-dimensional vector over the Galois field GF(2); 2] a byte as an element of the Galois field $\operatorname{GF}\left(2^{8}\right)$.

$\xrightarrow{n}$Rationale for these two views : operations that are easy to analyse in one representation are difficult to analyse in another [CMR06], thwarting cryptanalysts' effort to formulate straightforward algebraic attacks.

We discuss arithmetic in Galois fields - an important part of the AES - in Sec. 2.1.

- We then discuss the key schedule in Sec. 2.2, before looking into encryption/decryption in Sec. 2.3.


### 2.1 Arithmetic in GF( $2^{n}$ )

A Galois field, also called a finite field, which in turn is a field (see Definition 2) with a finite number of elements.

## Definition 2: Field [HW03, p. 176]

A field is a triple $(\mathbb{F},+, \times)$, consisting of set $\mathbb{F}$ and binary operations + and $\times$ on $\mathbb{F}$, that satisfies the following axioms:
Closure $\quad \forall a, b \in \mathbb{F}$,

- $a+b \in \mathbb{F}$,
- $a \times b \in \mathbb{F}$.

| Associativ- | $\forall a, b, c \in \mathbb{F}$, |
| :--- | :--- |
| ity | $\bullet(a+b)+c=a+(b+c)$, |
|  | $\bullet(a \times b) \times c=a \times(b \times c)$. |

Commuta- $\quad \forall a, b \in \mathbb{F}$,
tivity $\quad a+b=b+a$,

- $a \times b=b \times a$.

Identi- $\quad \forall a \in \mathbb{F}$,
ty/neutral element

- there exists an additive identity element $0 \in \mathbb{F}$ such that $a+0=a$,
- there exists a multiplicative identity element $1 \neq 0$ and $1 \in$ $\mathbb{F}$ such that $a \cdot 1=a$.
A 0 and 1 above serve as symbols rather than literal zero and one.

Inverse $\quad \forall a \in \mathbb{F}$,
element - there exists an additive inverse element $-a \in \mathbb{F}$ such that $a+(-a)=0$,

- except for element 0 , there exists a multiplicative inverse element $a^{-1} \in \mathbb{F}$ such that $a \times a^{-1}=1$.

Distributiv- $\times$ is distributive over + , i.e., $\forall a, b, c \in \mathbb{F},(a+b) \times c=(a \times c)+$ ity

## Quiz 3

Is $a \times(b+c)=(a \times b)+(a \times c)$ true $\forall a, b, c \in \mathbb{F}$ ?

## i) Detail: Field $\leftarrow$ ring $\leftarrow$ group [LN94, p. 12], [Lov22, Definitions 1.2.1, 3.1.1, 3.1.22]

The study of Galois fields belongs to the field of abstract algebra (see knowledge base entry).
An introduction to Galois fields typically starts with groups followed by rings, but let us trace backwards from fields to rings to groups:

- A field is a commutative division ring.
- A division ring is a ring whose elements except 0 form a group under multiplication. This means all elements except 0 has a multiplicative inverse.
- A ring is a triple $(R,+, \times)$, where $1(R,+)$ forms a commutative group, $2 \times$ is associative, and 3] $\times$ is distributive over + .
- And finally, a group is a pair $(G, \star)$, consisting of set $G$ and binary operation $\star$ on $G$, that satisfies the three axioms: 1/ $\star$ is associative, 2 $G$ has an identity element with respect to $\star$, and 3] every element in $G$ has an inverse with respect to $\star$.

A Galois field is essentially a finite set on which we can perform the arithmetic operations of addition, subtraction, multiplication and division (but not division by zero).

The number of elements in a Galois field is called the order or cardinality of the field.

- The order of a Galois field is necessarily of the form $p^{m}$, where $p$ is a prime number and $m$ is any positive integer [Kib17, Proposition 2.2].
- Furthermore, all Galois fields of the same order are isomorphic to each other [Kib17, Proposition 2.3], thus all Galois fields of the same order share the same notation $\mathrm{GF}\left(p^{m}\right)$.


## Example 1

The triple $(\{0,1, \ldots, p-1\},+\bmod p, \times \bmod p)$ is isomorphic to the Galois field $\operatorname{GF}(p)$.
$X$ The triple $(\{0,1,2,3,4,5\},+\bmod 6, \times \bmod 6)$ is not a Galois field, since the elements $2,3,4$ do not have a multiplicative inverse.
X The triple $(\{0,1,2,3\},+\bmod 4, \times \bmod 4)$ is not a Galois field, since the element 2 does not have a multiplicative inverse, although there are exactly $2^{2}$ elements.

A structure having order of the form $p^{m}$ is not necessarily a Galois field, but a Galois field has necessarily order of the form $p^{m}$.

Consider the set of $n$-bit strings:

- They can be viewed as elements of the Galois field $\operatorname{GF}\left(2^{n}\right)$.
- They can also be viewed as binary polynomials of degree up to $n-1$, e.g., the 4 -bit string 1001 can be viewed as the polynomial $x^{3}+1$.
In other words, we can view the Galois field $\operatorname{GF}\left(2^{n}\right)$ as a set of binary polynomials of degree up to $n-1$ :
- Elements of $\mathrm{GF}\left(2^{n}\right)$ can be added modulo 2:

$$
\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} c_{i} x^{i}+\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} d_{i} x^{i}=\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\left(c_{i} \oplus d_{i}\right) x^{i} .
$$

Note addition modulo 2 is equivalent to XOR . $x$ is a so-called indeterminate, which merely serves as a symbol.

- Elements of $\mathrm{GF}\left(2^{n}\right)$ can be multiplied modulo an irreducible polynomial (i.e., a polynomial whose divisors are one and itself) of degree $n$ :

$$
\left(\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} c_{i} x^{i}\right)\left(\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} d_{i} x^{i}\right) \bmod m(x) .
$$

For any Galois field of $n>2$, multiple irreducible polynomials are available for choosing.

## Example 2

For Galois field $\mathrm{GF}\left(2^{n}\right)$, we can determine the primitive polynomials (a subset of irreducible polynomials) using the command primpoly from the MATLAB Communications Toolbox.
The following shows the MATLAB code and associated outputs for $\mathrm{GF}\left(2^{2}\right)$ and
$\mathrm{GF}\left(2^{8}\right):$
>> primpoly(2, 'all')
Primitive polynomial(s) =
$D^{\wedge} 2+D^{\wedge} 1+1$
ans =

7

```
>> primpoly(8, 'all')
```

Primitive polynomial(s) =
$D^{\wedge} 8+D^{\wedge} 4+D^{\wedge} 3+D^{\wedge} 2+1$
$D^{\wedge} 8+D^{\wedge} 5+D^{\wedge} 3+D^{\wedge} 1+1$
$D^{\wedge} 8+D^{\wedge} 5+D^{\wedge} 3+D^{\wedge} 2+1$
$D^{\wedge} 8+D^{\wedge} 6+D^{\wedge} 3+D^{\wedge} 2+1$
$D^{\wedge} 8+D^{\wedge} 6+D^{\wedge} 4+D^{\wedge} 3+D^{\wedge} 2+D^{\wedge} 1+1$
$D^{\wedge} 8+D^{\wedge} 6+D^{\wedge} 5+D^{\wedge} 1+1$
$D^{\wedge} 8+D^{\wedge} 6+D^{\wedge} 5+D^{\wedge} 2+1$
$D^{\wedge} 8+D^{\wedge} 6+D^{\wedge} 5+D^{\wedge} 3+1$
$D^{\wedge} 8+D^{\wedge} 6+D^{\wedge} 5+D^{\wedge} 4+1$
$D^{\wedge} 8+D^{\wedge} 7+D^{\wedge} 2+D^{\wedge} 1+1$
$D^{\wedge} 8+D^{\wedge} 7+D^{\wedge} 3+D^{\wedge} 2+1$
$D^{\wedge} 8+D^{\wedge} 7+D^{\wedge} 5+D^{\wedge} 3+1$
$D^{\wedge} 8+D^{\wedge} 7+D^{\wedge} 6+D^{\wedge} 1+1$
$D^{\wedge} 8+D^{\wedge} 7+D^{\wedge} 6+D^{\wedge} 3+D^{\wedge} 2+D^{\wedge} 1+1$
$D^{\wedge} 8+D^{\wedge} 7+D^{\wedge} 6+D^{\wedge} 5+D^{\wedge} 2+D^{\wedge} 1+1$
$D^{\wedge} 8+D^{\wedge} 7+D^{\wedge} 6+D^{\wedge} 5+D^{\wedge} 4+D^{\wedge} 2+1$
ans =
285
299
301
333
351
355
357
361
369
391
397
425
451
463
487
501

To interpret the integer results, e.g., 7 , observe 1 the coefficients of the associated polynomial $D^{2}+D^{1}+1$ are $1,1,1$; and 2] $111_{2} \equiv 7_{10}$. We shall use this primitive polynomial in the next example.
Alternatively, we can use the function irreducible_polys from the Python package galois to determine the irreducible polynomials for $\mathrm{GF}\left(p^{m}\right)$.
The following shows the Python code and associated output for GF( $2^{8}$ ):

```
import galois
list(galois.irreducible_polys(2, 8))
[Poly(x^8 + x^4 + x^3 + x + 1, GF(2)),
Poly( x^8 + x^5 + x^3 + x + 1, GF(2)),
Poly(x^8 + x^5 + x^4 + x^3 + x^2 + x + 1, GF(2)),
Poly( (x^8 + x^5 + x^3 + x^2 + 1, GF(2)),
Poly(x^8 + x^5 + x^4 + x^3 + 1, GF(2)),
Poly(x^8 + x^4 + x^3 + x^2 + 1, GF(2)),
Poly(x^8 + x^6 + x^3 + x^2 + 1, GF(2)),
Poly(x^8 + x^6 + x^4 + x^3 + x^2 + x + 1, GF(2)),
```

```
Poly(x^8 + x^6 + x^5 + x + 1, GF(2)),
Poly( (x^8 + x^6 + x^5 + x^2 + 1, GF(2)),
Poly( (x^8 + x^6 + x^5 + x^3 + 1, GF(2)),
Poly( (x^8 + x^6 + x^5 + x^4 + 1, GF(2)),
Poly( (^^8 + x^6 + x^5 + x^4 + x^2 + x + 1, GF(2)),
Poly(x^8 + x^6 + x^5 + x^4 + x^3 + x + 1, GF(2)),
Poly(x^8 + x^7 + x^2 + x + 1, GF(2)),
Poly(x^8 + x^7 + x^3 + x + 1, GF(2)),
Poly( x^8 + x^7 + x^3 + x^2 + 1, GF(2)),
Poly(x^8 + x^7 + x^4 + x^3 + x^2 + x + 1, GF(2)),
Poly(x^8 + x^7 + x^5 + x + 1, GF(2)),
Poly( (x^8 + x^7 + x^5 + x^3 + 1, GF(2)),
Poly(\mp@subsup{x}{}{\wedge}8+\mp@subsup{x}{}{\wedge}7+\mp@subsup{x}{}{\wedge}5+\mp@subsup{x}{}{\wedge}4+1,GF(2)),
Poly( (x^8 + x^7 + x^5 + x^4 4 + x^3 + x^2 + 1, GF(2)),
Poly(x^8 + x^7 + x^6 + x + 1, GF(2)),
Poly( (^^8 + x^7 + x^6 + x^3 + x^2 + x + 1, GF(2)),
Poly( (^^8 + x^7 + x^6 + x^4 + x^2 + x + 1, GF(2)),
Poly( (\mp@subsup{^}{}{\wedge}8+\mp@subsup{x}{}{\wedge}7+\mp@subsup{x}{}{\wedge}6 + x^4 + x^3 + x^2 + 1, GF(2)),
Poly( (^^8 + x^7 + x^6 + x^5 + x^2 + x + 1, GF(2)),
Poly(x^8 + x^7 + x^6 + x^5 + x^4 + x + 1, GF(2)),
Poly(x^8 + x^7 + x^6 + x^5 + x^4 + x^2 + 1, GF(2)),
Poly(x^8 + x^7 + x^6 + x^5 + x^4 + x^3 + 1, GF(2))]
```


## Example 3

Consider the Galois field $\mathrm{GF}\left(2^{2}\right)$ where addition modulo 2 is equivalent to XOR and multiplication is modulo the irreducible polynomial $m(x) \triangleq x^{2}+x+1$ obtained in the preceding example.
While addition/XOR is straightforward, multiplication deserves a closer look.
We can generate a multiplication table through the modular outer product [ HWO 3 , p. 187]:

$$
\begin{align*}
{\left[\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
1 \\
x \\
x+1
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{llll}
0 & 1 & x & x+1
\end{array}\right] \bmod m(x) } & =\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & x & x+1 \\
0 & x & x^{2} & x(x+1) \\
0 & x+1 & (x+1) x & (x+1)^{2}
\end{array}\right] \bmod m(x)  \tag{1}\\
& =\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & x & x+1 \\
0 & x & -x-1 & -1 \\
0 & x+1 & -1 & x
\end{array}\right]
\end{align*}
$$

Four of the elements in the preceding matrix have order 2 and have been reduced modulo $m(x)$, e.g.,

$$
x^{2} \bmod m(x)=\left(x^{2}+x+1\right)(1)+(-x-1) \bmod \left(x^{2}+x+1\right)=-x-1 .
$$

Furthermore, the negative ones in the final matrix of Eq. (1) are equivalent to $(1 \bmod 2)$, thus one final reduction modulo 2 gives us the multiplication table:

| $\times$ | 0 | 1 | $x$ | $x+1$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 0 | 1 | $x$ | $x+1$ |
| $x$ | 0 | $x$ | $x+1$ | 1 |
| $x+1$ | 0 | $x+1$ | 1 | $x$ |

The table above is readily verifiable using the following Python code (output omitted):
import galois
GF = galois.GF(2**2, repr="poly")
print(GF.arithmetic_table("*"))
Applying the binary representation to the polynomials in the preceding table gives us the equivalent multiplication table:

| $\times$ | 00 | 01 | 10 | 11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 |
| 01 | 00 | 01 | 10 | 11 |
| 10 | 00 | 10 | 11 | 01 |
| 11 | 00 | 11 | 01 | 10 |

We can further convert the binary representation to the integer representation using the Python code:

```
import galois
GF = galois.GF(2**2, repr="int")
print(GF.arithmetic_table("*"))
print(GF.repr_table())
```

The table returned by the function repr_table lets us map the integer representation in the rightmost column to the polynomial and binary/vector representations in the middle columns with ease.


Rijndael and the AES use the Galois field $\mathrm{GF}\left(2^{8}\right)$ and the irreducible polynomial

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{\mathrm{AES}}(x) \triangleq x^{8}+x^{4}+x^{3}+x+1 . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Details to follow.

### 2.2 Key schedule

Both the DES and AES leverage the strategies of diffusion and confusion [CMR06, p. 2]:

Diffusion aims to spread the influence of the key and plaintext to the ciphertext. This is done primarily through permutations.
Confusion aims to complicate the relationship among the key, plaintext and ciphertext. This is done primarily through substitutions.
The two strategies above can already be seen in the key schedule.
The AES, like most block ciphers, is an iterated cipher where the output is computed by applying in an iterative fashion a fixed key-dependent function - called a round transformation $-N_{r}$ times to the input, where $N_{r}$ is the number of rounds [vJ11, p. 152].

Figure 3 shows values of $N_{r}$ for different values of block length and key length．
A key scheduling algorithm takes as input a user－selected key and produces a set of round keys［vJ11，p．152］，a subset of which is applied in each round transformation．

For the AES，key scheduling comprises 1 key expansion and 2 round key selection［DR20， Sec．3．6］．
Key expansion is implemented in the function AES＿set＿encrypt＿key in the file crypto／aes／aes＿core．c．

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{b}}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{k}}$ | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| 4 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 |
| 5 | 11 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 |
| 6 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 13 | 14 |
| 7 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 14 |
| 8 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 |

Figure 3：Number of rounds $N_{r}$ as a function of block length $N_{b}$ and key length $N_{k}$［DR20，Table 3．2］．Lengths are in multiples of a word（ 32 bits）．

There are three versions of the function AES＿set＿encrypt＿key：one textbook version and two optimised versions．The textbook version is：

Listing 1：AES＿set＿encrypt＿key．

```
int AES_set_encrypt_key(const unsigned char *userKey, const int bits, AES_KEY *key)
{
    u64 *rk;
    if (!userKey || !key) return -1;
    if (bits }\not=128\mathrm{ &ठ bits }\not=192 &ठठ bits $= 256) return -2
    rk = (u64*)key ->rd_key;
    if (bits = 128)
            key }->\mathrm{ rounds = 10;
    else if (bits = 192)
            key }->\mathrm{ rounds = 12;
    else
        key }->\mathrm{ rounds = 14;
    KeyExpansion(userKey, rk, key ->rounds, bits/32);
    return 0;
}
```

typedef union \｛ unsigned char b［8］；u32 w［2］；u64 d；\} uni;
static void KeyExpansion(const unsigned char *key, u64 *w, int nr, int nk)
\{
u32 rcon; uni prev; u32 temp; int i, n;
memcpy(w, key, nk*4); /* copy key to expanded key w */
memcpy(\&rcon, "\1\0\0\0", 4);
n = nk/2; /* n=2 for 128-bit keys */
prev.d $=w[n-1] ; \quad / * w[1]$ for 128-bit keys */
for ( $i=n$; $i<(n r+1) * 2 ; i+$ ) \{ $/ * i=2$ to 22 for 128-bit keys */
temp = prev.w[1]; /* temp gets w[i-1] from prev */
if (i \% n = 0) \{
RotWord(\&temp); SubWord(\&temp); temp 气 rcon; XtimeWord(Ercon);
\} else if (nk > 6 \& © i \% n = 2) \{ /* only for 256-bit keys */
SubWord(\&temp);
\}
prev.d = w[i-n];
prev.w[0] 气 temp;
prev.w[1] 气 prev.w[0];
$w[i]=$ prev.d;
\}

A Even this textbook version does not follow FIPS 197 [NIS01] precisely, let alone [DR20].

## In Listing 1,

- rk is an array of $4\left(N_{r}+1\right)$ words; see include/openssl/aes.h.
- w is a 64 -bit pointer at rk. (:) This is the dizzying part: $w[i]$ here is equivalent to $w[2 * i] w[2 * i+1]$ in [NIS01, Sec.
5.2], but understandably this is done for efficiency.
- $\mathrm{nr}=$ number of rounds, $N_{r}$.
- $\mathrm{nk}=$ key length, $N_{k}$, in number of words; and $\mathrm{n} \triangleq \mathrm{nk} / 2$. Key length $n \mathrm{nr} n N_{b}$ 128 bits 10424 192 bits 12634
- rcon is the round constant, the purpose of which is to eliminate symmetries [DR20, Sec. 3.6.1].

For brevity, the following discussion focuses on the 128 -bit ( $\mathrm{nk}=4$ ) case.
Eq. (3) captures the essence of the KeyExpansion function in Listing 1, while Figure 4 illustrates the workflow.
$w[i]= \begin{cases}\operatorname{key}[i] & \text { if } i<n, \\ w[i-n] \oplus \operatorname{SubWord}(\operatorname{RotWord}(w[i-1])) \oplus \operatorname{rcon}_{i / n} & \text { if } i \geq n \text { and } i=0 \bmod n, \\ w[i-n] \oplus w[i-1] & \text { otherwise. }\end{cases}$


Figure 4: Key expansion for AES128 [KR11, Fig. 3.1]: $k(0,0: 3) \rightarrow k\left(0: N_{r}\right.$, 0:3).

In Eq. (3) ,

- w[0] and w[1] (128 bits) are filled with the original key.
- Every following word, w[i], is set to the XOR of the previous word, w[i-1], and the word $n$ positions earlier, w[i-n].
- For words in positions that are a multiple of $n$, a transformation is applied to w[i-1] prior to the XOR, followed by an XOR with a round constant.
- The transformation steps, namely RotWord and SubWord, on w[i-1], as well as the update step xtimeWord on the round constant are subsequently discussed.

I In Figure 4, $1 k(i, j) k(i, j+1)$, where $j$ is even, is equivalent to $w[i]$ in Eq. (3) and w[i] in Listing 1; 2 $S$ represents SubWord; and 3] $r_{i}$ is exactly $r c_{i / n}$ in Eq. (5).

The function RotWord
Listing 2: RotWord.

- Performs a byte-wise leftward rotation (cyclic shift) on a word [NIS01, Sec. 5.2], i.e., $b_{0} b_{1} b_{2} b_{3}$ becomes $b_{1} b_{2} b_{3} b_{0}$.
- If the input word is represented as a fourth-order polynomial whose coefficients are the input bytes, then Rotword essentially multiplies the input polynomial with $x^{3}$ modulo $\left(x^{4}+1\right)$ [NIS01, Sec. 4.3].

```
static void RotWord(u32 *x)
    unsigned char *w0;
    unsigned char tmp;
    w0 = (unsigned char *)x;
    tmp = w0[0];
    w0[0] = w0[1];
    w0[1] = w0[2];
    w0[2] = w0[3];
    w0[3] = tmp;
}
```

The function SubWord

- Substitutes each of the four bytes in a word with its affine transformation in GF( $2^{8}$ ).
- If a byte is treated as a pair of nibbles $x y$ (i.e., 4-bit $x$ and 4-bit $y$ ), then the affine transformation takes the form [DR20, (3.9)]:

$$
\operatorname{Aff}_{8}\left(\operatorname{Inv}_{8}(x y)\right)=\left[\begin{array}{cccccccc}
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0  \tag{4}\\
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right] \times\left[\begin{array}{l}
x_{3} \\
x_{2} \\
x_{1} \\
x_{0} \\
y_{3} \\
y_{2} \\
y_{1} \\
y_{0}
\end{array}\right]^{-1}+\left[\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
1 \\
1 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
1 \\
1
\end{array}\right] .
$$

Inversion can be done by the extended Euclidean algorithm, which was covered in an earlier tutorial, but we shall revisit it via the galois Python package in the current tutorial.
The Galois-field arithmetic in Eq. (4) follows the description in Sec. 2.1, i.e., while addition is XOR, multiplication is done modulo the irreducible polynomial $m_{\mathrm{AES}}(x)$ in (2).

- Since there are only 256 possible output values from Eq. (4), the lookup table or so-called S-box (short for "substitution box") in Table 2 can be used. In the tutorial, we shall learn how to obtain Table 2 using the galois Python package.
- Eq. (4) is the only nonlinear transformation among the building blocks of the AES [DR20, Sec. 3.4.1]. It keeps the maximum input-output correlation small to help resist linear and differential cryptanalyses.
- The OpenSSL code for SubWord is too lengthy to be included here.

|  |  | $y$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | A | B | C | D | E | F |
|  | 0 | 63 | 7C | 77 | 7B | F2 | 6B | 6 F | C5 | 30 | 01 | 67 | 2B | FE | D7 | AB | 76 |
|  | 1 | CA | 82 | C9 | 7D | FA | 59 | 47 | F0 | AD | D4 | A2 | AF | 9C | A4 | 72 | C0 |
|  | 2 | B7 | FD | 93 | 26 | 36 | 3F | F7 | CC | 34 | A5 | E5 | F1 | 71 | D8 | 31 | 15 |
|  | 3 | 04 | C7 | 23 | C3 | 18 | 96 | 05 | 9A | 07 | 12 | 80 | E2 | EB | 27 | B2 | 75 |
|  | 4 | 09 | 83 | 2C | 1A | 1B | 6E | 5A | A0 | 52 | 3B | D6 | B3 | 29 | E3 | 2 F | 84 |
|  | 5 | 53 | D1 | 00 | ED | 20 | FC | B1 | 5B | 6A | CB | BE | 39 | 4A | 4 C | 58 | CF |
|  | 6 | D0 | EF | AA | FB | 43 | 4 D | 33 | 85 | 45 | F9 | 02 | 7 F | 50 | 3C | 9F | A8 |
|  | 7 | 51 | A3 | 40 | 8F | 92 | 9D | 38 | F5 | BC | B6 | DA | 21 | 10 | FF | F3 | D2 |
| $x$ | 8 | CD | OC | 13 | EC | 5 F | 97 | 44 | 17 | C4 | A7 | 7E | 3D | 64 | 5D | 19 | 73 |
|  | 9 | 60 | 81 | 4 F | DC | 22 | 2A | 90 | 88 | 46 | EE | B8 | 14 | DE | 5E | OB | DB |
|  | A | E0 | 32 | 3A | OA | 49 | 06 | 24 | 5C | C2 | D3 | AC | 62 | 91 | 95 | E4 | 79 |
|  | B | E7 | C8 | 37 | 6D | 8D | D5 | 4E | A9 | 6C | 56 | F4 | EA | 65 | 7A | AE | 08 |
|  | C | BA | 78 | 25 | 2E | 1C | A6 | B4 | C6 | E8 | DD | 74 | 1F | 4B | BD | 8B | 8A |
|  | D | 70 | 3E | B5 | 66 | 48 | 03 | F6 | OE | 61 | 35 | 57 | B9 | 86 | C1 | 1D | 9E |
|  | E | E1 | F8 | 98 | 11 | 69 | D9 | 8 E | 94 | 9B | 1 E | 87 | E9 | CE | 55 | 28 | DF |
|  | F | 8C | A1 | 89 | OD | BF | E6 | 42 | 68 | 41 | 99 | 2D | OF | B0 | 54 | BB | 16 |

Table 2: Practical means for implementing Eq. (4): S-box for looking up output values $\operatorname{Aff}_{8}\left(\operatorname{Inv}_{8}(x y)\right)$ in hexadecimals corresponding to input nibbles $x$ and $y$ [DR20, Table A.1]. Same table as [NIS01, Figure 7].

The function XtimeWord updates the 32 -bit round constant by recursion:

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{rcon}_{i / n} & =\left[r c_{i / n}, 00_{16}, 00_{16}, 00_{16}\right],  \tag{5a}\\
r c_{i / n} & = \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } i=1, \\
02_{16} \times r c_{(i-1) / n} & \text { if } i>1 \text { and } r c_{(i-1) / n}<80_{16}, \\
02_{16} \times r c_{(i-1) / n} \oplus 011 B_{16} & \text { if } i>1 \text { and } r c_{(i-1) / n} \geq 80_{16},\end{cases}  \tag{5b}\\
& \Uparrow \\
r c_{i / n} & =x^{i / n-1} . \tag{5c}
\end{align*}
$$

- Eqs. (5b) and (5c) are equivalent but the former uses the hexadecimal representation while the latter uses the polynomial representation; recall $02_{16}=$ polynomial $x$ in $\operatorname{GF}\left(2^{8}\right)$.
- The label xtime refers to multiplication by polynomial $x$ in $\operatorname{GF}\left(2^{8}\right)$ [DR20, Sec. 4.1.1].
Suppose $b(x)=\sum_{i=0}^{7} b_{i} x^{i}$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
& x \times b(x) \bmod m_{\mathrm{AES}}(x)=\sum_{i=0}^{7} b_{i} x^{i+1} \bmod m_{\mathrm{AES}}(x) \\
= & b_{7}\left(x^{8}+x^{4}+x^{3}+x+1\right)+b_{6} x^{7}+b_{5} x^{6}+b_{4} x^{5} \\
& +\left(b_{7}+b_{3}\right) x^{4}+\left(b_{7}+b_{2}\right) x^{3}+b_{1} x^{2}+\left(b_{7}+b_{0}\right) x \\
& +b_{7} \bmod m_{\mathrm{AES}}(x) \\
= & \underbrace{\sum_{i=0}^{6} b_{i} x^{i+1}}_{\text {Left shift of } b_{6} b_{5} \cdots b_{0}}+\underbrace{b_{7}\left(x^{4}+x^{3}+x+1\right)}_{ \begin{cases}1 B_{16} & \text { if } b_{7}=1 \\
00_{16} & \text { otherwise }\end{cases} } . \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, xtime can be implemented with a left shift and a conditional XOR, consistent with Eq. (5b) and Listing 3.

Listing 3: XtimeWord.
static void XtimeWord(u32 *w) \{
u32 a, b;
a = *w;
b = a \& 0x80808080u;
a へ b ;
b -= b >> 7;
b $\delta=0 \times 1 \mathrm{~B} 1 \mathrm{~B} 1 \mathrm{~B} 1 \mathrm{Bu} ;$
$\mathrm{b} \xlongequal{=} \mathrm{a} \ll 1$;
*w $=\mathrm{b}$;
\}

Constant-time code avoids if-then-else to prevent timing attacks [DR20, Sec. 4.1.1].

Computational efficiency can be improved using a look-up table [DR20, Table A.6] at the expense of memory and storage.

Finally, in terms of key selection, the first four words of the expanded key are used for round zero, the next four words are used for round one, and so on. This explains why rk in Listing 1 is an array of $4\left(N_{r}+1\right)$ words.

## Quiz 4

Referring to crypto/aes/aes_core.c, what is the difference between AES_set_decrypt_key and AES_set_encrypt_key?

### 2.3 Encryption/decryption

Let us begin by inspecting the OpenSSL file crypto/aes/aes_ecb.c, which suggests the function AES_ecb_encrypt is used for encryption and decryption, depending on the value of the integer parameter enc.

- For encryption, the function AES_encrypt defined in crypto/aes/aes_core.c is called.
- For decryption, the function AES_decrypt defined in crypto/aes/aes_core.c is called. The decryption process reverses the encryption process, and is not discussed here.
In crypto/aes/aes_core.c, there are two versions of AES_encrypt: a textbook version and an optimised version. Listing 4 shows the textbook version, where Cipher matches the pseudocode in [NIS01, Figure 5] closely.

Listing 4: AES_encrypt and Cipher.
void AES_encrypt(const unsigned char *in, unsigned char *out, const AES_KEY *key)

```
    const u64 *rk;
    assert(in &\delta out && key);
    rk = (u64*)key ->rd_key;
    Cipher(in, out, rk, key }->\mathrm{ rounds);
}
static void Cipher(const unsigned char *in, unsigned char *out,
                        const u64 *w, int nr)
{
    u64 state[2];
    int i;
    memcpy(state, in, 16);
    AddRoundKey(state, w);
    for (i = 1; i < nr; i+) {
        SubLong(8state[0]); SubLong(&state[1]);
        ShiftRows(state); MixColumns(state); AddRoundKey(state, w + i*2);
    }
    SubLong(&state[0]); SubLong(&state[1]);
    ShiftRows(state); AddRoundKey(state, w + nr*2);
    memcpy(out, state, 16);
}
```


## In Listing 4 ,

Listing 5: AddRoundKey.

- The 128 -bit state is the column-first static void AddRoundKey (u64 *state, flattened version of the $4 \times 4$ state-byte const u64 *w) array specified in [NIS01, Sec. 3.4]. More on this later.

```
state[0] 气 w[0]; state[1] 气 w[1];
```

\}

- state is initialised to the plaintext.
- In round zero, the function AddRoundKey, as implemented in Listing 5 , XORs the current state with the current round key.
- The first to penultimate rounds involve SubLong, shiftRows and MixColumns — to be subsequently discussed - prior to the application of AddRoundKey.
- The last round is the same as the preceding rounds, except it does not involve MixColumns.
- Figure 5 illustrates the encryption process.

The function subLong implements SubBytes in FIPS 197 [NIS01]:

- Same as the function SubWord in Listing 1, except SubLong operates on four words rather than one word at a time.
- The OpenSSL code for sublong is too lengthy to be included here.


CIPHERTEXT
Figure 5: AES encryption [KR11, Fig. 3.4]: Each arrow is one byte wide. $k^{i}$ denotes the subkey for round $i$. $S$ is short for Sublong and ShiftRows. $n=N_{r}$ is number of rounds and should not be confused with $n$ in Listing 1 . Red line highlights a sample effect of ShiftRows: 2nd byte of the state shifted to the 14th byte of the state.

The function ShiftRows
Listing 6: ShiftRows.

- Treats the state array, $s$, as a $4 \times 4$ matrix and shifts its rows as follows:

| $S_{0}$ | $S_{4}$ | $S_{8}$ | $S_{12}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $S_{1}$ | $S_{5}$ | $S_{9}$ | $S_{13}$ |
| $S_{2}$ | $S_{6}$ | $S_{10}$ | $S_{14}$ |
| $S_{3}$ | $S_{7}$ | $S_{11}$ | $S_{15}$ |
| $\downarrow$ |  |  |  |
| $S_{0}$ | $S_{4}$ | $S_{8}$ | $S_{12}$ |
| $S_{5}$ | $S_{9}$ | $S_{13}$ | $S_{1}$ |
| $S_{10}$ | $S_{14}$ | $S_{2}$ | $S_{6}$ |
| $S_{15}$ | $S_{3}$ | $S_{7}$ | $S_{11}$ |

- Together with Mixcolumn, achieves diffusion [KR11, Sec. 3.1.5.2].

The function Mixcolumns (see Listing 7)

- Does not mix columns together.
- But treats the state array, $s$, as a $4 \times 4$ matrix, and each $j$ th column of the matrix as a four-term polynomial in $\operatorname{GF}\left(2^{8}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{0, j} x^{3}+s_{1, j} x^{2}+s_{2, j} x+s_{3, j}, \quad j=1, \ldots, 4, \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

with irreducible polynomial $x^{4}+1$ This is different from the treatment in Sec. 2.1.
Each column is multiplied by the constant polynomial [NIS01, Sec. 5.1.3]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
a(x) \triangleq 03_{16} x^{3}+01_{16} x^{2}+01_{16} x+02_{16} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

which was chosen because it has an inverse (making decryption possible). Specifically, the inverse of $a(x)$ is $a(x)^{3}$ [DR20, (3.13)].
Without derivation, multiplying the polynomial in (7) with $a(x)$ in (8) is equivalent to the linear transformation:

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
s_{0, j}  \tag{9}\\
s_{1, j} \\
s_{2, j} \\
s_{3, j}
\end{array}\right] \leftarrow\left[\begin{array}{llll}
02_{16} & 03_{16} & 01_{16} & 01_{16} \\
01_{16} & 02_{16} & 03_{16} & 01_{16} \\
01_{16} & 01_{16} & 02_{16} & 03_{16} \\
03_{16} & 01_{16} & 01_{16} & 02_{16}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
s_{0, j} \\
s_{1, j} \\
s_{2, j} \\
s_{3, j}
\end{array}\right]
$$

and equivalently,

$$
\begin{align*}
& s_{0, j} \leftarrow\left(02_{16} \times s_{0, j}\right) \oplus\left(03_{16} \times s_{1, j}\right) \oplus s_{2, j} \oplus s_{3, j},  \tag{10a}\\
& s_{1, j} \leftarrow s_{0, j} \oplus\left(02_{16} \times s_{1, j}\right) \oplus\left(03_{16} \times s_{2, j}\right) \oplus s_{3, j},  \tag{10b}\\
& s_{2, j} \leftarrow s_{0, j} \oplus s_{1, j} \oplus\left(02_{16} \times s_{2, j}\right) \oplus\left(03_{16} \times s_{3, j}\right),  \tag{10c}\\
& s_{3, j} \leftarrow\left(03_{16} \times s_{0, j}\right) \oplus s_{1, j} \oplus s_{2, j} \oplus\left(02_{16} \times s_{3, j}\right) \tag{10d}
\end{align*}
$$

- The matrix in Eq. (9) is called a $D$-box (short for "diffusion box"). Implementation of Eq. (10) can be seen in Listing 7.

Listing 7: MixColumns. Compare XtimeLong with XtimeWord in Listing 3.

```
typedef union { unsigned char b[8]; u32 w[2]; u64 d; } uni;
```

```
static void XtimeLong(u64 *w)
{
    u64 a, b;
    a = *w;
    b = a & U64(0x8080808080808080);
    a ^b;
    b -= b >> 7;
    b &= U64(0x1B1B1B1B1B1B1B1B);
    b \cong a << 1;
    *w = b;
}
```

static void MixColumns(u64 *state)
\{
uni s1; uni s; int c;
for ( $c=0 ; c<2 ; c+$ ) \{ /* works on 2 cols at once */
s1.d = state[c]; /* 2 cols $\times 4$ bytes/col */
s.d = s1.d;
s.d $\cong((s . d$ \& U64(0xFFFF0000FFFF0000)) $\gg 16) \mid((s . d \& U 64(0 x 0000 F F F F 0000 F F F F)) \ll 16)$;
/* result: s =
s0 + s2
s1 + s3
s2 + s0
s3 + s1 */
s.d $=((s . d$ \& U64(0xFF00FF00FF00FF00))>> 8) | ((s.d \& U64(0x00FF00FF00FF00FF))<< 8);
/* result: s =
s0 + s2 + s1 + s3
$s 1+s 3+s 0+s 2$
s2 + s0 + s3 + s1
s3 + s1 + s2 + s0 */
s.d $=s 1 . d$;
/* result: s =
$s 0+s 2+s 1+s 3+s 0=s 2+s 1+s 3$
$s 1+s 3+s 0+s 2+s 1=s 3+s 0+s 2$
$s 2+s 0+s 3+s 1+s 2=s 0+s 3+s 1$
$\mathrm{s} 3+\mathrm{s} 1+\mathrm{s} 2+\mathrm{s} 0+\mathrm{s} 3=\mathrm{s} 1+\mathrm{s} 2+\mathrm{s} 0$ */
XtimeLong(\&s1.d);
s.d $=s 1 . d$;
/* result: s =
s2 + s1 + s3 + 2*s0
$s 3+s 0+s 2+2 * s 1$
s0 + s3 + s1 + 2*s2
s1 + s2 + s0 + 2*s3 */
$\mathrm{s.b}[0] \cong \mathrm{s} 1 . \mathrm{b}[1] ; \mathrm{s} . \mathrm{b}[4] \cong \mathrm{s} 1 . \mathrm{b}[5] ;$
$\mathrm{s} . \mathrm{b}[1] \cong \mathrm{s} 1 . \mathrm{b}[2] ; \mathrm{s} . \mathrm{b}[5] \cong \mathrm{s} 1 . \mathrm{b}[6] ;$
$\mathrm{s} . \mathrm{b}[2] \cong \mathrm{s} 1 . \mathrm{b}[3] ; \mathrm{s} . \mathrm{b}[6] \cong \mathrm{s} 1 . \mathrm{b}[7] ;$
$\mathrm{s} . \mathrm{b}[3] \cong \mathrm{s} 1 . \mathrm{b}[0] ; \mathrm{s} . \mathrm{b}[7] \cong \mathrm{s} 1 . \mathrm{b}[4]$;
/* result: s =
$s 2+s 1+s 3+2 * s 0+2 * s 1$
$s 3+s 0+s 2+2 * s 1+2 * s 2$
s0 + s3 + s1 + 2*s2 + 2*s3
s1 + s2 + s0 + 2*s3 + 2*s0 */
state[c] = s.d;
\}
\}

Listing 8 shows a speed-optimised but "rolled-up" version of AES_encrypt using lookup
tables:

- The arrays Te0, Te1, Te2 and Te3 capture simultaneously the results of (4) and MixColumns.
- ShiftRows is accomplished using shifting, e.g., to stores the transformed version of 1 the first element of $\mathrm{s} 0,2$ the second element of $\mathrm{s} 1,3$ the third element of $s 2$, and 4] the last element of $s 3$.

Listing 8: Speed-optimised AEs_encrypt using lookup tables.

```
void AES_encrypt(const unsigned char *in, unsigned char *out,
```

    const AES_KEY *key)
    \{
const u32 *rk;
u32 s0, s1, s2, s3, t0, t1, t2, t3;
int r ;
assert(in \& © out \&
rk $=$ key $\rightarrow$ rd_key;
/* map byte array block to cipher state
* and add initial round key: */
s0 = GETU32 (in ) ^ rk[0]; /* 1st column */
s1 = GETU32 (in + 4) ^ rk[1]; /* 2nd column */
s2 = GETU32(in + 8) ^ rk[2]; /* 3rd column */
s3 = GETU32 (in + 12) ^ rk[3]; /* 4th column */
/* Nr - 1 full rounds: */
$r=k e y \rightarrow r o u n d s ~ \gg ~ 1 ; ~ / * ~ b e c a u s e ~ o n e ~ i t e r a t i o n ~ b e l o w ~=~ t w o ~ r o u n d s ~ * / ~$
for (; ; ) \{
t0 =Te0[(s0 >> 24) ] ^ Te1[(s1 >> 16) \& 0xff] ^
$\mathrm{Te} 2[(\mathrm{~s} 2 \mathrm{>}>8)$ \& 0xff] ^ $\operatorname{Te} 3[(s 3 \quad)$ \& 0xff] ^ $\mathrm{rk}[4]$;
t1 $=T e 0[(s 1 \gg 24) \quad]^{\wedge}$ Te1[(s2 >> 16) \& 0xff] ^
Te2[(s3 >> 8) \& 0xff] ^ Te3[(s0 ) \& 0xff] ^ rk[5];
t2 =Te0[(s2 >> 24) ] ^ Te1[(s3 >> 16) \& 0xff] ^
Te2[(s0 >> 8) \& 0xff] ^ Te3[(s1 ) \& 0xff] ^ rk[6];
t3 =Te0[(s3 >> 24) ] ^ Te1[(s0 >> 16) \& 0xff] ^
Te2[(s1 >> 8) \& 0xff] ^ Te3[(s2 ) \& 0xff] ^ rk[7];
rk += 8;
if (--r = 0) \{ break; \}
s0 =Te0[(t0 >> 24) ] ^ Te1[(t1 >> 16) \& 0xff] ^
$\mathrm{Te} 2[(\mathrm{t2} \gg 8) \& 0 x f f]$ ^ $\mathrm{Te} 3[(\mathrm{t3})$ \& 0xff] ^ $\mathrm{rk}[0]$;
s1 =Te0[(t1 >> 24) ] ^ Te1[(t2 >> 16) \& 0xff] ^
Te2[(t3 >> 8) \& 0xff] ^ Te3[(t0 ) \& 0xff] ^ rk[1];
s2 =Te0[(t2 >> 24) ] ^ Te1[(t3 >> 16) \& 0xff] ^
Te2[(t0 >> 8) \& 0xff] ^ Te3[(t1 ) \& 0xff] ^ rk[2];
s3 =Te0[(t3 >> 24) ] ^ Te1[(t0 >> 16) \& 0xff] ^
Te2[(t1 >> 8) \& 0xff] ^ Te3[(t2 ) \& 0xff] ^ rk[3];
\}
/* apply last round and
* map cipher state to byte array block: */
s0 $=($ Te2[(t0 > 24) ] \& 0xff000000) ^ (Te3[(t1 >> 16) \& 0xff] \& 0x00ff0000) ^
(Te0[(t2 >> 8) \& 0xff] \& 0x0000ff00) ^ (Te1[(t3 ) \& 0xff] \& 0x000000ff) ^
rk[0];
PUTU32(out , s0);
s1 $=(\mathrm{Te} 2[(\mathrm{t} 1 \gg 24) \quad] \& 0 x f f 000000)^{\wedge}(\mathrm{Te} 3[(\mathrm{t} 2 \gg 16) \& 0 x f f] \& 0 x 00 f f 0000){ }^{\wedge}$
(Te0[(t3 >> 8) \& 0xff] \& 0x0000ff00) ^ (Te1[(t0 ) \& 0xff] \& 0x000000ff) ^
rk[1];

```
    PUTU32(out + 4, s1);
    s2 =(Te2[(t2 >> 24) ] & 0xff000000) ^ (Te3[(t3 >> 16) & 0xff] & 0x00ff0000) ^
        (Te0[(t0 >> 8) & 0xff] & 0x0000ff00) ^ (Te1[(t1 ) & 0xff] & 0x000000ff) ^
        rk[2];
    PUTU32(out + 8, s2);
    s3 =(Te2[(t3 >> 24) ] & 0xff000000) ^ (Te3[(t0 >> 16) & 0xff] & 0x00ff0000) ^
        (Te0[(t1 >> 8) & 0xff] & 0x0000ff00)^ (Te1[(t2 ) & 0xff] & 0x000000ff) ^
        rk[3];
    PUTU32(out + 12, s3);
}
```


## Quiz 5

Referring to crypto／aes／aes＿core．c，how many bytes of storage are needed for Te0，Te1，Te2 and Te3？Compare this with the size of L1 data cache on an ARM Cortex－A53，a widely used microprocessor．
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