Name of sonographer: _____

Assignment Title: **Project proposal – Adv O&G sonography IMSO** **Grade:** _____

Areas of Assessment (AOA) Project proposal (17.5%)	**F**	**P2/P1** *Achieves some of the objectives*	**C** *Achieves majority of objectives*	**D** *Achieves all the objectives*	**HD** *Achieves and exceeds beyond the objective standards*
1. Sonographer presents ultrasound findings in an organized manner. **2. Clarifies the purpose of the project proposal & applies discipline-specific ethical values.** **3. Demonstrates understanding** and provides clear rationale for the chosen topic. **4.** The proposal demonstrates consideration of **reflective comments** and suggestions from peers.	Project proposal is **unclear and lacks depth of content**. **No evidence** to demonstrate understanding of theory underpinning the proposal. No peer comments and reflections.	**Project proposal** has an **acceptable** depth of content. Evidence of **satisfactory** understanding of theory underpinning the case scenario. Peer suggestions taken on board.	**Project proposal is** clear and relevant with a good depth of content, clear rationale and outline. **Consistent evidence** of **satisfactory** understanding of the theory underpinning the proposal. Consistent display of **research-based evidence.** Peer suggestions taken on board and used to improvise the proposal.	**Project proposal is** clear and relevant with a good depth of content, clear rationale and outline. **Consistent evidence** of **comprehensive** understanding of the theory underpinning the proposal. Consistent display of **research-based evidence.** Peer suggestions taken on board and used to improvise the proposal.	**Project proposal is** clear and relevant with an excellent depth of content, clear rationale and outline. **Consistent evidence** of **high level** understanding of the theory underpinning the proposal. Consistent display of **research-based evidence.** Peer suggestions taken on board and used to improvise the proposal.
5] Sonographer demonstrates evidence-based work and conforms to academic integrity	Lack of **research-based evidence**. Referencing is non-compliant with the mentioned style.	The submission is scholarly with appropriate use of between 6-8 references to support the argument / claims			