

Name of sonographer:

Assignment Title: Clinical artifact – Adv O&G sonography IMSO Grade:

Areas of assessment Clinical artifact (17.5%)	F	P2/P1	C	D	HD
1. Sonographer presents new technique in an organized manner. 2. Clarifies the clinical artifact & applies discipline-specific ethical values and explains the relevance of the chosen topic. 3. Demonstrates understanding and provides clear rationale for the chosen topic. 4. The summary demonstrates consideration of challenges, solutions and future pathways. 5. The scanning protocol is clear and comprehensive and is informed by evidence and guidelines where available.	Clinical artifact is not an addition to the existing skills and is unclear and lacks depth of content. No evidence to demonstrate understanding of theory underpinning the artifact. No plans for improvement. No clinical protocol.	Clinical artifact has an acceptable depth of content. Evidence of satisfactory understanding of theory underpinning the case chosen pathway. Reasonable plans for improvement. Adequate scanning protocol.	Clinical artifact is clear and relevant with a good depth of content, clear rationale and outline. Consistent evidence of satisfactory understanding of the theory underpinning the artifact. Consistent display of research-based evidence. Satisfactory plans for improvement. Clear scanning protocol.	Clinical artifact is clear and relevant with a good depth of content, clear rationale and outline. Consistent evidence of comprehensive understanding of the theory underpinning the proposal. Consistent display of research-based evidence. Satisfactory plans for improvement. Clear scanning protocol.	Clinical artifact is clear and relevant with an excellent depth of content, clear rationale and outline. Consistent evidence of high level understanding of the theory underpinning the artifact. Consistent display of research-based evidence. Satisfactory plan for improvement. Clear scanning protocol.
1. Sonographer demonstrates evidence-based work and conforms to academic integrity	Lack of research-based evidence. Referencing is non-compliant with the mentioned style.	The submission is scholarly with appropriate use of at least 6-8 references to support the argument / claims			

Comments: